



**Georgia Tech Financial Analysis Lab**

800 West Peachtree Street NW

Atlanta, GA 30332-0520

404-894-4395

<http://www.scheller.gatech.edu/finlab>

**Charles W. Mulford, Director**

Invesco Chair and Professor

[charles.mulford@scheller.gatech.edu](mailto:charles.mulford@scheller.gatech.edu)

**Anna Babinets**

MBA Student and Graduate Research Assistant

[anna.babinets@gmail.com](mailto:anna.babinets@gmail.com)

**Corporate Reporting of Other Comprehensive Income**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

In this study we examine other comprehensive income (OCI) for the firms comprising the S&P 100 during the 2013 to 2015 timeframe. Our objectives are to determine what items of gain and loss are included in OCI and thus excluded from net income and to see if there is a systematic tendency for companies to keep more losses than gains in OCI. We seek to understand which OCI components show more unexpected losses and what impact each item of OCI will have on future earnings. We review all gains and losses listed as part of other comprehensive income, examine the average magnitude and distribution of these items, and analyze the effect of OCI reclassifications on net income. We seek to determine what OCI line items give most leeway to executives in managing earnings and hiding significant risk exposures.

All S&P 100 companies in our sample reported items of other comprehensive income/(loss) in their 2013-2015 annual financial statements. Our findings show that losses are more likely to be reported on the statement of other comprehensive income than gains. Further, in two of the three years examined, the magnitude of losses included in OCI are greater than the magnitude of gains. Overall, these findings imply that companies are engaging in selective earnings management by reporting losses in OCI and excluding them from net income.

The impact of different OCI components on earnings varies with unrealized investment losses and negative foreign currency translation adjustments being the primary drivers of total other comprehensive loss. Overall, our findings show that all OCI items should be treated as a precursor of future net income. In the sample, 43 companies reclassified gains and losses from AOCI to the income statement over the period of 2013-2015. Derivative and investment re-measurements were transferred to earnings most frequently. When OCI gains and losses were realized and recorded in net income, they often had a material impact on earnings.

Our findings affirm a general tendency for companies to delay recognition of losses by keeping them in OCI. By leaving material losses "on paper" and out of earnings, companies can paint their performance in a more positive light. Therefore, reviewing OCI information should be part of the due diligence process of every analyst and investor. OCI information can enhance valuation and performance analysis by highlighting significant risk exposures and by helping readers to anticipate the impact of future reclassifications on earnings.

September 2017

**Georgia Tech Financial Analysis Lab**  
**Scheller College of Business**  
**Georgia Institute of Technology**  
**Atlanta, GA 30332-0520**

**Georgia Tech Financial Analysis Lab**

The Georgia Tech Financial Analysis Lab conducts unbiased research on issues of financial reporting and analysis. Unbiased information is vital to effective investment decision-making. Accordingly, we think that independent research organizations, such as our own, have an important role to play in providing information to market participants.

Because our Lab is housed within a university, all our research reports have an educational quality, as they are designed to impart knowledge and understanding to those who read them. Our focus is on issues that we believe will be of interest to a large segment of stock market participants. Depending on the issue, we may focus our attention on individual companies, groups of companies, or on large segments of the market at large.

A recurring theme in our work is the identification of reporting practices that give investors a misleading signal, whether positive or negative, of corporate earning power. We define earning power as the ability to generate a sustainable stream of earnings that is backed by cash flow. Accordingly, our research may look into reporting practices that affect either earnings or cash flow, or both. At times, our research may look at stock prices generally, though from a fundamental and not technical point of view.

**Contact Information**

Charles Mulford      Invesco Chair, Professor of Accounting and the Lab's Director  
Phone: (404) 894-4395  
Email: [charles.mulford@scheller.gatech.edu](mailto:charles.mulford@scheller.gatech.edu)

Anna Babinets      Graduate Research Assistant and MBA Student  
Mark Jacobson      Graduate Research Assistant and MBA Student  
Allan Mathis      Graduate Research Assistant and MBA Student

Website:      <http://www.scheller.gatech.edu/finlab>

Scheller College of Business, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30308-0520. The information contained in this research report is solely the opinion of the authors and is based on sources believed to be reliable and accurate, consisting principally of required filings submitted by the companies represented to the Securities and Exchange Commission. HOWEVER, ALL CONTENT HEREIN IS PRESENTED "AS IS," WITHOUT WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED. No data or statement is or should be construed to be a recommendation for the purchase, retention, sale or short-sale of the securities of the companies mentioned.

## Corporate Reporting of Other Comprehensive Income

### Introduction

Financial analysts and investors seek relevant performance measures to make effective economic resource allocation decisions. Many such readers of financial statements begin with the income statement which includes all revenues, expenses, gains, and losses recognized during the period, as well as important subtotals, such as operating income and net income. Net income provides an important focal point for evaluating corporate financial performance and often moves market prices. Since net income is carefully defined by generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP), it provides a useful measure of corporate performance that can be used for direct comparisons across firms. However, there are many gains and losses that are excluded from net income. These so-called elements of other comprehensive income (OCI) are explicitly excluded from net income by U.S. GAAP even though they consist of gains and losses that affect directly an entity's financial performance and net assets.

Consider, for example, the case of American International Group's Inc. (AIG Inc.) performance in 2015. Analysts focused on net income as the primary performance number would highlight net income of \$2.2 billion. However, that measure excludes other comprehensive loss of \$8 billion.<sup>1</sup> Such analysis could result in favorable conclusions about AIG's corporate performance and financial results. However, if the focus were on total comprehensive loss, which accounts for a \$6.7 billion unrealized loss on depreciation of marketable securities and a \$1.1 billion of negative foreign currency translation adjustments, the performance number would be negative, showing a comprehensive loss of \$5.8 billion. This metric would also highlight a significant year-on-year volatility in total comprehensive income (2015 loss of \$5.8 billion vs. 2014 income of \$11.7 billion). This, in turn, could raise questions about AIG's performance and investment strategies, and potentially lead to a decrease in the company's market valuation.

The relevance of OCI reporting has been the topic of recent publications of academic institutions and industry associations. The CFA Institute 2015 report "*Analyzing Bank Performance: Role of Comprehensive Income*" reviews bank performance reporting during the financial crisis over the period of 2006-2013 and highlights the important role of OCI for analyzing performance of financial institutions.<sup>2</sup> Based on the data from 44 global banks, the report makes the case for drawing increased investor attention towards key business activities reported in the statement of other comprehensive income. The CFA findings show that the OCI statement provides important economic information to users and that, for banks, losses on the OCI statement were more common than losses on the income statement. The current academic literature also shows the relevance of OCI information for valuation purposes. A 2011 Columbia University working paper "*Relative Valuation of U.S. Insurance Companies*" finds that in the insurance sector, including accumulated OCI in book value multiples significantly improves the accuracy of a valuation model.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> While many analysts would adjust net income for nonrecurring gains and losses to derive a measure of non-GAAP income, still the primary focus as a starting point is net income and not comprehensive income.

<sup>2</sup> Vincent Papa, Sandra Peters, "Analyzing Bank Performance: Role of Comprehensive Income", CFA Institute, February 2015: <http://www.cfapubs.org/doi/pdf/10.2469/ccb.v2015.n3.1>

<sup>3</sup> Doron Nissim, "Relative Valuation of U.S. Insurance Companies", Columbia Business School, December 2011:

<http://www.columbia.edu/~dn75/Relative%20Valuation%20of%20U.S.%20Insurance%20Companies.pdf>

The financial media has also looked at the topic of other comprehensive income. As noted in a 2014 article in *The Wall Street Journal*, other comprehensive income “...has gotten a reputation as a sort of dumping ground where companies are allowed to store information that would be too damaging to earnings.”<sup>4</sup> The article also discusses how reporting these gains and losses in the statement of other comprehensive income, and excluding them from net income, can obscure a firm’s true profit and loss picture and distort common valuation techniques used by investors. In a 2014 article titled, “Banks Prefer Losses They Don’t Have to Talk about,” *Bloomberg* takes this discussion one step further. It highlights that companies have some choice about what accounting treatment to use for their investments and therefore may prefer to keep some investment losses “on paper” and out of net income. Both *The Wall Street Journal* and the *Bloomberg* publications suggest that reporting losses in other comprehensive income is more attractive than recognizing those losses on the income statement because “everyone agrees to treat that [i.e., OCI] as somewhat less important than net income”<sup>5</sup>.

Given the potential significance of gains and losses excluded from net income and listed instead as part of OCI, we conduct a detailed examination of components of other comprehensive income and their potential impact on earnings. Our study builds on existing research and expands the discussion of the usefulness of OCI information beyond financial institutions. In our analysis, we seek to determine if there is a systematic tendency for companies to include more gains or losses in other comprehensive income, what components of other comprehensive income show more unexpected losses and what impact these gains and losses have on future net income. Our sample consists of the 100 firms comprising the S&P 100. This group of firms represents a broad cross-section of major American companies from a number of industries. For this sample, we review annual earnings releases, including disclosures of statements of comprehensive income/(loss), focusing on fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015. We obtain data on net income, each component of other comprehensive income, total comprehensive income, and study the relationship between these measures. We also analyze the external events that could have contributed to reporting more OCI losses and gains, as we were seeking to understand whether companies were attempting to engage in selective earnings management and by “hiding” losses in OCI.

Our findings show that losses are more likely to be reported in other comprehensive income than on the primary income statement. We find that while in 2013 our sample companies were rather evenly split on reporting overall other comprehensive income or loss, in 2014 and 2015 over 80% of our sample companies report an overall other comprehensive loss, where other comprehensive losses exceed other comprehensive gains. These are losses that are excluded from net income. Our findings support the premise that there is a tendency for companies to report losses in OCI both more frequently and in greater amounts than gains.

The impact of different OCI components on net income varies with unrealized investment losses and negative foreign currency translation adjustments being the primary drivers of total other

---

<sup>4</sup> Emily Chasan, “Accounting ‘Dumping Ground’ Headed For Clean Up”, *Wall Street Journal*, February 13, 2014: <https://blogs.wsj.com/cfo/2014/02/13/accounting-dumping-ground-headed-for-clean-up/>

<sup>5</sup> Matt Levine “Banks Prefer Losses They Don’t Have to Talk about”, *Bloomberg*, February 26, 2014: <https://www.bloomberg.com/view/articles/2014-02-26/banks-prefer-losses-they-don-t-have-to-talk-about>

comprehensive loss. Our findings show that all OCI line items should be treated as precursor of future net income. In our study, 43 companies of the S&P 100 reclassified gains and losses from accumulated OCI to the income statement over the period of 2013-2015. Derivative and investment re-measurements were transferred to earnings most frequently (89 and 77 reclassifications, respectively). When OCI gains and losses became realized and were recorded in net income, they often had a material impact on the primary income statement.

Our findings indicate that analysts and investors should not overlook OCI in their analysis of corporate financial performance. By leaving material losses “on paper” and off the primary income statement, companies can paint their performance in a more positive light. Accordingly, reviewing OCI information should be part of every analyst and investor’s due diligence. OCI information can enhance valuation and performance analysis by highlighting significant risk exposures and by helping readers to anticipate the impact of future reclassifications on earnings. We hope to remind all users of financial statements of the relevance and importance of other comprehensive income, and to contribute to increasing the utilization of OCI information.

## Background

### *Definition of Other Comprehensive Income under U.S. GAAP*

U.S. GAAP Statement no.130 *Reporting Comprehensive Income* defines comprehensive income as “the change in equity (net assets) of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from nonowner sources”.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, comprehensive income includes all components of net income and all components of other comprehensive income. In contrast, *other* comprehensive income represents only those “gains and losses that bypass net income on the income statement, but cause changes in stockholders’ equity except those resulting from investments by owners and distribution to owners”<sup>7</sup>. Thus, other comprehensive income is the difference between net income and comprehensive income. It is commonly referred to as “OCI”.

U.S. GAAP identifies a limited number of transactions that should be recorded in other comprehensive income. Common components of OCI include:

1. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments (refer to *FAS 115 "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities"*),
2. Translation gains and losses on foreign currency transactions (refer to *FAS 52 "Foreign Currency Translation"*),
3. Unrealized gains and losses on derivatives held as cash flow hedges (refer to *FAS 133 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", ASC 815*),
4. Actuarial gains and losses arising on a defined benefit pension plan (refer to *FAS 158 "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pensions and Other Postretirement Plans"*).

---

<sup>6</sup> Financial Accounting Standards Board. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130: Reporting Comprehensive Income, (Norwalk, CT: June 1997), para. 8.

<sup>7</sup> Donald E. Kieso, Jerry J. Weygandt, Terry D. Warfield. *Intermediate Accounting*, 16<sup>th</sup> ed. (NY, NY: John Wiley & Sons, 2016).

Depending on the component of OCI, there are varying reasons to explain why GAAP excludes different items of OCI from net income. For example, unrealized gains/(losses) on available-for-sale investments are holding gains/(losses) arising from changes in market prices of securities that are defined as available-for-sale. The “unrealized” part means that the gain/(loss) has yet to be settled and realized. Similarly, translation gains/(losses) on foreign currency transactions typically include foreign currency gains/(losses) on intra-entity currency transactions where settlement is not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future, as well as foreign currency translation adjustments arising from translating the financial statements of foreign subsidiaries from a foreign currency to the presentation currency. As these gains/(losses) only reflect how changes in foreign exchange rates affect the company’s position, they are also unrealized. Because they are unrealized, GAAP generally views gains/(losses) on available-for-sale investments and those resulting from foreign currency translation adjustments as more appropriately excluded from net income.<sup>8</sup>

In contrast, the main reason for reporting hedging gains/(losses) in OCI is because of a recognition mismatch. Hedging OCI items include unrealized gains/(losses) on derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges. Only gains/(losses) for effective portions are considered here. For example, a company may enter into a derivative to hedge the risk associated with some future event, (e.g., the future purchase of a commodity) that is not yet recorded in the financial statements. In order to deal with the fact that the derivative has been entered into while the future event has not yet occurred, the firm recognizes any gains/(losses) related to the derivative initially in OCI. Those gains/(losses) are then moved out of OCI and recorded in net income when the future event takes place.

Finally, pension-related gains/(losses) cover minimum pension liability adjustments on a company’s pension or post-retirement benefit plans. Specifically, they may include unrealized revaluation gains/(losses) on securities held as plan assets, prior service costs or credits, and plan transition assets or obligations that are not recognized as a component of the net periodic benefit or cost in net income. Since such pension re-measurements are highly volatile and transitory in nature, they are recorded in OCI in order not to distort the income statement.

Based on the above, we see that OCI gains and losses are excluded from the income statement for different reasons: because they have not yet been realized, because of a recognition mismatch or due to their highly volatile nature.

### ***Presentation and Disclosure of Other Comprehensive Income in Financial Statements***

Unlike items reported on the income statement, components of OCI are not closed to retained earnings each period and instead, accumulate as an element of shareholders’ equity referred to as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI). Under U.S. GAAP, companies must report components of other comprehensive income as either (1) a single continuous statement of comprehensive income that includes the income statement, or (2) two separate but consecutive statements: an income statement and a statement of comprehensive income. Example 1 below presents an example of the reporting format for the second of two separate consecutive statements.

---

<sup>8</sup> As a result of ASC Update 2016-01, effective for fiscal years beginning in 2018, gains/(losses) on available-for-sale investments will be recorded in net income.

It was obtained from the AIG, Inc. 2015 10-K filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Example 1. American International Group, Inc., Illustrative Example of the Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Two-statement approach) (in millions).

**AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 2,222</b>	<b>\$ 7,524</b>	<b>\$ 9,092</b>
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax</b>			
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity securities on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were recognized	(347)	107	361
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of all other investments	(6,762)	5,538	(6,673)
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	(1,100)	(832)	(556)
Change in net derivative gains arising from cash flow hedging activities	-	-	-
Change in retirement plan liabilities adjustment	123	(556)	631
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss)</b>	<b>(8,086)</b>	<b>4,257</b>	<b>(6,237)</b>
<b>Comprehensive income (loss)</b>	<b>(5,864)</b>	<b>11,781</b>	<b>2,855</b>
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests	-	-	-
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to other noncontrolling interests	20	(5)	(16)
<b>Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>(16)</b>
<b>Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG</b>	<b>\$ (5,884)</b>	<b>\$ 11,786</b>	<b>\$ 2,871</b>

Source: AIG, Inc. Form 10-K Annual Report to the SEC, December 31, 2015.

As seen in Example 1, the Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) is effectively a reconciliation of net income to comprehensive income, where elements of other comprehensive income are highlighted. Note that in 2015, the company incurred \$8 billion in other comprehensive losses. There is no mention of \$8 billion in other comprehensive losses on AIG's income statement. While the equity section of the balance sheet shows total AOCI as a single line item, it does not show details on what caused the loss, or how economic events affected the fair value of the company's assets and its foreign currency position at the end of the reporting period. These details are reported in a statement (Example 1 above) that is separate from the balance sheet and income statement.

Although GAAP requires prominent display of other comprehensive income and comprehensive income, the focus for performance analysis remains on the income statement, where analysts direct their attention to operating income, net income and EPS. Operating income, net income and EPS exclude elements of other comprehensive income, which nonetheless, can affect a firm's financial well-being.

***Flexibility in Reporting Other Comprehensive Income***

In other comprehensive income, one would expect that gains and losses would be somewhat equal and offsetting. However, that said, firm managements are offered considerable leeway in deciding what elements of gain or loss are included in net income or in other comprehensive income.

Consider, for example, investments classified as available-for-sale. Unrealized gains and losses on these investments are excluded from net income and reported in other comprehensive income. Realized gains and losses are reported in net income. A firm may delay the recognition in net income of an investment-related loss by not selling the investment but by holding it, allowing the loss to accumulate in other comprehensive income. Consider too a defined-benefit pension plan. Corporate Reporting of Other Comprehensive Income. © 2017 Scheller College of Business, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30308-0520

Here a firm may elect a fair-value approach and flow actuarial gains and losses and any gains or losses related to plan amendments through net income. In the absence of such an election, these gains and losses are included in other comprehensive income and not reported in net income, permitting a firm to delay the recognition in net income of pension-related losses. For investments in foreign entities translated under the all-current method, translation-related gains and losses are reported in other comprehensive income. When these investments are liquidated, either in whole or in part, any gain or loss related to the liquidated portion is reported in net income. Here again, by not selling the investment, currency-related losses may be excluded from net income. When certain criteria are met, firms may elect and apply hedge accounting and report hedge-related gains and losses on cash flow hedges in other comprehensive income, excluding them from net income.<sup>9</sup> When hedge accounting is not applied, these same hedge-related gains and losses would flow through net income.

Given the leeway that managers are afforded in deciding what elements of gain or loss are included in net income or in other comprehensive income, it is possible that they are managing the timing of the recognition of gains and losses in net income. That is, we may observe that losses are more frequently reported in other comprehensive income while gains are directed toward net income. If so, it would imply that net income is reported artificially at a higher level than would be obtained if all other comprehensive income gains and losses were recorded in net income as they occurred.

### ***Other Comprehensive Income Is Future Net Income***

Accumulated other comprehensive income includes accumulated gains and losses that have yet to be recognized in net income. AOCI usually appears as a separate line item on the statement of shareholder's equity. If an item listed in other comprehensive income becomes a realized gain or loss, it shifts from AOCI to the income statement. This is commonly referred to as reclassification or "recycling." This can happen, for example, when a company sells an investment security for which it already recorded an unrealized gain in other comprehensive income. At the point of sale, this becomes a realized gain and therefore moves from AOCI into net income. Companies are required to display the effects of significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI on the respective line items in net income or to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details on these reclassifications (ASC 220-10). Under U.S. GAAP Statement no. 130, companies can decide whether to show components of comprehensive income on a gross basis or net of reclassification adjustments.

Hence, while the exclusion from net income of OCI losses can increase net income in the current reporting period, it does not mean the company will not record these losses in a future year. The opposite is also true. Consider the following example of one S&P100 company from our sample. During the reporting period ended July 25, 2015, Cisco Systems, Inc. reported \$157 million of

---

<sup>9</sup> U.S. GAAP ASC 815 defines cash flow hedges as hedges of the variable cash flows associated with assets, liabilities, or transactions. It defines recognition criteria for cash flow hedge, as follows:

- 1) anticipated or forecasted transaction has variable cash flows,
- 2) transaction is probable of occurring in the future (there is no requirement for contractual commitment),
- 3) amount of the transaction has not been fixed.

For cash flow hedges, effective portion of the gains and losses are recognized in other comprehensive income, while ineffective portion of the gains and losses are recognized in earnings.

realized gains on available-for-sale investments which the company added to net income following the sale of the investments. During the same period the company also incurred a \$154 million loss from reclassification of foreign currency derivatives. Example 2 below illustrates both reclassifications and shows that OCI items can be expected to eventually flow through net income, often leading to a material impact on earnings.

**Example 2. Cisco Systems, Inc., Illustrative Disclosure on Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and into Net Income (in millions).**

Comprehensive Income Components	July 25, 2015	July 26, 2014	July 27, 2013	Line Item in Statements of Operations
	Income Before Taxes			
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale investments				
	\$ 157	\$ 300	\$ 48	Other income (loss), net
Net unrealized gains and losses on cash flow hedging instruments				
Foreign currency derivatives	(121)	55	10	Operating expenses
Foreign currency derivatives	(33)	13	2	Cost of sales—service
	(154)	68	12	
Cumulative translation adjustment and actuarial gains and losses				
	(2)	—	—	Operating expenses
Total amounts reclassified out of AOCI	\$ 1	\$ 368	\$ 60	

Source: Cisco Systems, Inc. Form 10-K Annual Report to the SEC, July 25, 2015.

## Design

Our sample consists of the 100 companies comprising the S&P 100. This group of firms represents a broad cross-section of major American companies from a diverse range of industries. For this sample, we review each firm's annual report for the years 2013, 2014, and 2015, including their income statements, statements of comprehensive income, and accompanying notes explaining any reclassifications out of AOCI into the net income. We identify each gain and loss reported within other comprehensive income to determine if gains or losses are reported in OCI more frequently. We also prepare a reconciliation between net income/(loss) and total comprehensive income/(loss) in percentages of net income/(loss) in order to understand the potential impact of future OCI reclassifications on earnings.

## Overall results

The results of our study are presented in table format. Table 1 below presents a reconciliation in percentage terms of net income to total comprehensive income for each company from our sample for fiscal years 2013-2015. The data are obtained from the Statements of Other Comprehensive Income and, where applicable, the combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

For all components of other comprehensive income, we have calculated the percentage of the net income they represent. All gains/(losses) reported in OCI are classified into 5 general categories. Corporate Reporting of Other Comprehensive Income. © 2017 Scheller College of Business, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30308-0520

These five categories consist of Foreign currency gains/(losses), Pension gains/(losses), Investment gains/(losses), Derivatives gains/(losses) and Other gains/(losses). The Other category includes items as the share of other comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests, the change in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance (Intel Corporation), OCI related to equity method investees (NextEra Energy Inc., and Exelon Corporation), dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries (Southern Company), and other gains/(losses).

Under U.S. GAAP it is acceptable to either report components of other comprehensive income net of related tax effects, or before related tax effects with a single aggregate income tax expense (benefit) shown that relates to all OCI items. For nine companies in our sample OCI items are reported on a gross basis and related income tax expense (benefit) is reported as a single aggregate line item. For consistency, we allocate this tax charge (benefit) on a relative basis to each component of OCI, assuming the same tax rate applies to all OCI components.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
Apple Inc. <sup>10</sup>	2015	100%	-0.77%	-	-0.79%	-1.11%	-	97.33%
	2014	100%	-0.35%	-	0.38%	3.90%	-	103.93%
	2013	100%	-0.30%	-	-2.49%	0.17%	-	97.38%
AbbVie Inc.	2015	100%	-12.97%	4.47%	0.86%	-2.66%	-	89.70%
	2014	100%	-60.48%	-44.02%	0.06%	14.88%	-	10.43%
	2013	100%	1.16%	14.49%	0.02%	-1.87%	-	113.81%
Abbott Laboratories	2015	100%	-45.51%	5.70%	1.45%	-0.79%	-	60.84%
	2014	100%	-96.58%	-40.15%	-0.53%	4.12%	-	-33.14%
	2013	100%	-9.28%	34.24%	-0.70%	-2.06%	-	122.20%
Accenture Plc <sup>11</sup>	2015	100%	-17.32%	0.25%	-0.05%	-0.56%	-	82.32%
	2014	100%	3.05%	-3.59%	-	6.69%	-	106.15%
	2013	100%	-7.87%	2.36%	-	-5.90%	-	88.59%
Allergan Rg	2015	100%	-3.31%	-	2.58%	-	-0.11%	99.16%
	2014	100%	31.86%	-	2.23%	-	0.00%	134.09%
	2013	100%	-6.45%	-	-0.71%	-	0.00%	92.84%
American International Group Inc.	2015	100%	-49.50%	5.54%	-319.94%	-	-0.90%	-264.81%
	2014	100%	-11.06%	-7.39%	75.03%	-	0.07%	156.65%
	2013	100%	-6.12%	6.94%	-69.42%	-	0.18%	31.58%
Allstate Corp	2015	100%	-2.67%	2.21%	-60.16%	-	-	39.38%
	2014	100%	-1.40%	-25.44%	9.82%	-	-	82.98%
	2013	100%	-1.40%	47.85%	-52.11%	-	-	94.34%
Amgen, Inc.	2015	100%	-3.56%	-	-3.47%	0.10%	0.13%	93.20%
	2014	100%	-3.80%	-	0.47%	6.26%	0.04%	102.97%
	2013	100%	-1.57%	-	-4.45%	0.04%	-0.06%	93.96%
Amazon.com, Inc.	2015	100%	-35.23%	-	-0.34%	-	-	64.43%
	2014	100%	134.85%	-	0.41%	-	-	235.27%
	2013	100%	22.99%	-	-3.28%	-	-	119.71%
American Express Company	2015	100%	-10.56%	-0.62%	-0.74%	-	-	88.09%
	2014	100%	-6.95%	-1.99%	0.56%	-	-	91.62%
	2013	100%	-6.27%	1.66%	-4.70%	-	-	90.69%

<sup>10</sup> Fiscal year ended 9/26/2015.<sup>11</sup> Fiscal year ended 8/31/2015. Net Income was adjusted to exclude share of Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Debt valuation gain/(loss) <sup>12</sup>	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
Boeing Co.	2015	100%	-1.78%	25.12%	0.15%	-1.18%	-	-0.06%	122.26%
	2014	100%	-1.78%	-69.45%	-	-2.39%	-	0.18%	26.57%
	2013	100%	-1.40%	167.46%	-	-2.01%	-	0.20%	264.25%
Bank of America Corp	2015	100%	-0.77%	2.48%	-10.06%	3.68%	3.87%	-	99.19%
	2014	100%	-3.25%	-19.51%	95.61%	12.75%	-	-	185.60%
	2013	100%	-1.18%	17.92%	-71.44%	5.18%	-	-	50.49%
Biogen Inc.	2015	100%	-2.72%	-0.17%	-0.01%	-1.73%	-	1.30%	96.66%
	2014	100%	-3.72%	-0.41%	-0.20%	3.25%	-	0.23%	99.15%
	2013	100%	1.99%	0.11%	0.08%	-0.70%	-	0.00%	101.48%
Bank of New York Mellon Corp <sup>13</sup>	2015	100%	-18.97%	0.13%	-13.14%	0.25%	-	1.14%	69.41%
	2014	100%	-31.40%	-15.62%	13.83%	-0.58%	-	4.87%	71.09%
	2013	100%	9.13%	26.33%	-45.77%	0.43%	-	-1.95%	88.17%
BlackRock, Inc.	2015	100%	-5.16%	0.03%	-0.09%	-	-	-0.21%	94.57%
	2014	100%	-7.08%	-0.06%	-0.15%	-	-	0.92%	93.63%
	2013	100%	0.78%	0.34%	-0.30%	-	-	-0.64%	100.17%
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. <sup>14</sup>	2015	100%	-2.49%	6.45%	-3.45%	-3.26%	-	-	97.25%
	2014	100%	-1.60%	-16.17%	0.15%	3.44%	-	-	85.83%
	2013	100%	-2.93%	45.49%	-1.44%	0.27%	-	-	141.40%
Berkshire Hathaway Inc.	2015	100%	-8.09%	1.16%	-28.76%	-	-	-1.51%	62.80%
	2014	100%	-9.17%	-5.35%	7.86%	-	-	-1.23%	92.11%
	2013	100%	-0.24%	8.32%	74.72%	-	-	-1.29%	181.52%
Citigroup Inc.	2015	100%	-31.73%	0.25%	-5.56%	1.68%	-	-0.52%	64.12%
	2014	100%	-65.93%	-15.60%	22.62%	4.48%	-	-2.56%	43.02%
	2013	100%	-16.77%	9.23%	-16.11%	7.55%	-	-1.63%	82.26%
Caterpillar Inc.	2015	100%	-46.24%	17.79%	-2.18%	3.27%	-	0.05%	72.69%
	2014	100%	-31.37%	-33.82%	-	-3.07%	-	-0.43%	31.31%
	2013	100%	-7.28%	72.63%	0.42%	0.97%	-	-0.45%	166.29%
Celgene Corporation	2015	100%	-23.75%	0.10%	-11.82%	26.29%	-	-	90.82%
	2014	100%	-3.87%	-0.43%	16.18%	29.16%	-	-	141.04%
	2013	100%	1.15%	0.22%	9.18%	-0.64%	-	-	109.91%

<sup>12</sup> Debt valuation gain recorded in OCI is related to an accounting change. Bank of America early adopted FASB accounting guidance related to the debt valuation adjustment on financial liabilities accounted for under the fair value option, resulting in \$615 mln. valuation gain recorded in OCI.

<sup>13</sup> BNY Mellon Corp discloses Net comprehensive income in an amount that excludes portions of Net Income and OCI attributable to noncontrolling interests.

<sup>14</sup> 2013 Net Income was adjusted to exclude \$17 mln. attributable to noncontrolling interests.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
Colgate-Palmolive Company	2015	100%	-45.81%	14.16%	-0.51%	0.14%	-	67.99%
	2014	100%	-31.24%	-15.09%	-2.20%	0.09%	-	51.56%
	2013	100%	-7.27%	14.19%	0.58%	0.09%	-	107.59%
Comcast Corporation	2015	100%	-1.09%	0.91%	0.78%	-1.30%	0.36%	99.66%
	2014	100%	-0.45%	-1.66%	-0.24%	-0.06%	-	97.59%
	2013	100%	-0.43%	2.66%	-1.75%	0.37%	0.13%	100.98%
Capital One Financial Corp.	2015	100%	-3.33%	-	-3.75%	2.72%	-0.22%	95.41%
	2014	100%	-1.08%	-	8.58%	2.71%	-0.23%	109.98%
	2013	100%	0.19%	-	-36.25%	-3.76%	0.73%	60.91%
ConocoPhillips	2015	100%	118.12%	-18.71%	-	-	1.30%	200.71%
	2014	100%	-49.97%	-6.30%	-	-	-0.99%	42.74%
	2013	100%	-29.15%	6.52%	-	-	-0.64%	76.73%
Costco Wholesale <sup>15</sup>	2015	100%	-43.38%	-	-	-	-1.33%	55.29%
	2014	100%	2.20%	-	-	-	-1.44%	100.77%
	2013	100%	-13.49%	-	-	-	-1.07%	85.44%
Cisco Systems, Inc <sup>16</sup>	2015	100%	-5.55%	-	-1.25%	-0.04%	-0.02%	93.14%
	2014	100%	0.56%	-	0.56%	-0.25%	0.01%	100.88%
	2013	100%	-0.84%	-	-0.37%	0.61%	0.07%	99.47%
CVS Health Corp.	2015	100%	-1.91%	-0.82%	-	0.04%	-0.04%	97.27%
	2014	100%	-0.75%	-0.80%	-	0.09%	-	98.54%
	2013	100%	-0.65%	1.28%	-	0.07%	-	100.70%
Chevron Corporation	2015	100%	-0.93%	13.44%	-0.45%	-	-2.61%	109.45%
	2014	100%	-0.38%	-5.96%	-0.01%	-0.28%	-0.36%	93.01%
	2013	100%	0.19%	13.09%	-0.03%	-0.34%	-0.81%	112.11%
DuPont (E.I. Du Pont De Nemours and Company) <sup>17</sup>	2015	100%	-94.52%	30.39%	-1.12%	-1.47%	-0.35%	32.92%
	2014	100%	-16.87%	-74.34%	-	1.31%	-0.23%	9.87%
	2013	100%	0.34%	66.55%	0.01%	-1.12%	-0.16%	165.62%
Danaher Corporation	2015	100%	-29.06%	2.40%	0.52%	-	-	73.86%
	2014	100%	-47.53%	-13.90%	-2.01%	-	-	36.57%
	2013	100%	-2.30%	10.72%	1.74%	-	-	110.16%

<sup>15</sup> Fiscal year ended 8/30/2015.<sup>16</sup> Fiscal year ended 7/25/2015.<sup>17</sup> Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) was disclosed as a single line item in the Statement of Comprehensive Income. We allocated this tax charge pro-rata, assuming the same tax rate for all items of OCI.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
Walt Disney Company <sup>18</sup>	2015	100%	-3.25%	-3.59%	-1.04%	1.55%	0.92%	94.60%
	2014	100%	-0.24%	-12.33%	0.07%	1.61%	0.48%	89.59%
	2013	100%	-1.30%	31.99%	1.50%	2.20%	-0.51%	133.88%
Dow Chemical Company	2015	100%	-12.67%	7.09%	-1.21%	-1.57%	-0.84%	90.81%
	2014	100%	-31.96%	-48.48%	-0.49%	-2.16%	-0.91%	15.99%
	2013	100%	3.07%	52.64%	0.27%	-0.15%	-0.60%	155.23%
Duke Energy Corp.	2015	100%	-9.33%	-0.46%	-0.21%	0.32%	-0.14%	90.18%
	2014	100%	-6.56%	0.21%	0.16%	-1.01%	-0.74%	92.06%
	2013	100%	-7.36%	1.42%	-	2.24%	-0.19%	96.11%
EMC Corporation <sup>19</sup>	2015	100%	-8.49%	0.05%	-2.96%	0.50%	0.20%	89.30%
	2014	100%	-4.97%	-0.59%	0.66%	0.22%	-	95.32%
	2013	100%	-1.52%	1.49%	-1.14%	0.10%	-	98.93%
Emerson Electric Company <sup>20</sup>	2015	100%	-29.05%	-7.54%	-	-1.57%	-0.80%	61.03%
	2014	100%	-15.75%	-2.47%	-	0.05%	-1.56%	80.27%
	2013	100%	1.55%	25.22%	-	-0.82%	-2.71%	123.23%
Exelon Corporation	2015	100%	-0.93%	3.33%	-0.13%	0.40%	-	102.67%
	2014	100%	-0.49%	-20.88%	0.49%	-8.13%	-6.37%	64.62%
	2013	100%	-0.58%	50.72%	6.25%	-14.34%	-	142.05%
Ford Motor Company	2015	100%	-15.36%	-1.10%	-0.08%	3.08%	0.03%	86.57%
	2014	100%	-2.93%	-1.87%	-	-14.80%	-	80.41%
	2013	100%	-4.36%	-0.39%	-	1.80%	0.06%	97.10%
Facebook, Inc.	2015	100%	-5.48%	-	-0.68%	-	-	93.84%
	2014	100%	-8.13%	-	-0.10%	-	-	91.77%
	2013	100%	0.73%	-	0.07%	-	-	100.80%
FedEx Corporation <sup>21</sup>	2015	100%	-31.81%	-	-	-	-	68.19%
	2014	100%	-1.08%	-3.27%	-	-	-	95.65%
	2013	100%	1.51%	-2.32%	-	-	-	99.19%

<sup>18</sup> Fiscal year ended 10/03/2015. Net Income was adjusted to exclude share of Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests.<sup>19</sup> Net Income was adjusted to exclude share of Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests.<sup>20</sup> Fiscal year ended 09/30/2015.<sup>21</sup> Fiscal year ended 05/31/2015.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
21st Century Fox <sup>22</sup>	2015	100%	-21.82%	1.44%	-0.70%	-	2.58%	81.51%
	2014	100%	13.25%	-2.37%	-1.86%	-	-2.70%	106.31%
	2013	100%	-12.32%	4.27%	-0.63%	-	-0.21%	91.11%
General Dynamics Corporation <sup>23</sup>	2015	100%	-16.54%	22.11%	-0.09%	-17.42%	-	88.06%
	2014	100%	-12.27%	-49.12%	0.28%	-7.85%	-	31.03%
	2013	100%	-3.19%	70.25%	0.32%	0.08%	-	167.46%
General Electric Company	2015	100%	51.21%	-84.31%	9.03%	-1.62%	-1.13%	73.18%
	2014	100%	-17.92%	-47.78%	4.65%	1.54%	0.09%	40.57%
	2013	100%	-2.36%	86.54%	-2.86%	3.57%	0.19%	185.08%
Gilead Sciences, Inc.	2015	100%	0.05%	-	-0.15%	-1.07%	0.01%	98.83%
	2014	100%	-0.07%	-	-	3.60%	0.35%	103.87%
	2013	100%	-1.44%	-	0.16%	-1.28%	0.59%	98.04%
General Motors Company	2015	100%	-9.93%	10.51%	-	-	0.55%	101.13%
	2014	100%	-11.77%	-112.12%	-	-	-1.27%	-25.16%
	2013	100%	-13.75%	106.79%	-	-	-0.11%	192.93%
Alphabet Inc.	2015	100%	0.70%	-	-4.35%	0.41%	-	96.76%
	2014	100%	-7.05%	-	2.62%	3.73%	-	99.31%
	2013	100%	-6.53%	-	-3.10%	-2.00%	-	88.37%
Goldman Sachs Group Inc.	2015	100%	-1.87%	2.29%	0.00%	-	-	100.41%
	2014	100%	-1.29%	-1.20%	-0.09%	-	-	97.42%
	2013	100%	-0.62%	0.47%	-4.07%	0.10%	-	95.88%
Halliburton Company	2015	100%	-	-15.74%	-	10.04%	0.90%	95.20%
	2014	100%	-	-2.40%	-	-	-0.23%	97.37%
	2013	100%	-	-	-	-	-0.37%	99.63%
Home Depot Inc.	2015	100%	-5.88%	-	-	-0.49%	-	93.64%
	2014	100%	-8.04%	-	-	0.17%	0.02%	92.15%
	2013	100%	-6.11%	-	-	-0.22%	-0.19%	93.48%
Honeywell International Inc.	2015	100%	-23.77%	1.73%	-	-0.17%	-1.51%	76.29%
	2014	100%	-24.12%	-25.02%	-3.93%	0.46%	-2.01%	45.39%
	2013	100%	-1.31%	55.60%	0.33%	-0.18%	-0.91%	153.53%

<sup>22</sup> Fiscal year ended 06/30/2015. Net Income was adjusted to exclude share of Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

<sup>23</sup> Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) was disclosed as a single line item in the Statement of Comprehensive Income. We allocated tax charge pro-rata, assuming the same tax rate for all items of OCI.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
International Business Machines <sup>24</sup>	2015	100%	-11.88%	2.40%	0.28%	-3.91%	-	86.88%
	2014	100%	-10.47%	-47.07%	-0.15%	5.51%	-	47.82%
	2013	100%	-4.61%	30.28%	-0.03%	-0.42%	-	125.22%
Intel Corporation	2015	100%	-1.49%	1.18%	-6.22%	1.37%	-0.16%	94.69%
	2014	100%	-2.14%	-3.72%	4.93%	-3.65%	-0.35%	95.07%
	2013	100%	0.40%	5.59%	12.28%	-0.93%	-0.27%	117.07%
Johnson & Johnson	2015	100%	-23.57%	6.61%	2.25%	-1.15%	-	84.15%
	2014	100%	-28.19%	-20.27%	0.93%	-0.64%	-	51.83%
	2013	100%	0.68%	19.58%	-0.64%	1.71%	-	121.33%
JP Morgan Chase & Co.	2015	100%	-0.06%	0.45%	-8.77%	0.21%	-	91.83%
	2014	100%	-0.05%	-4.68%	9.08%	0.20%	-	104.55%
	2013	100%	-0.23%	8.20%	-22.76%	-1.45%	-	83.77%
Kinder Morgan Inc.	2015	100%	-102.88%	-58.65%	-	-51.92%	21.63%	-91.83%
	2014	100%	-5.65%	-9.25%	-	15.72%	-60.83%	39.99%
	2013	100%	-3.83%	6.32%	-	-1.00%	-53.68%	47.81%
The Coca-Cola Company	2015	100%	-53.75%	1.17%	-9.29%	1.93%	0.04%	40.10%
	2014	100%	-33.44%	-14.58%	10.02%	5.01%	-0.29%	66.72%
	2013	100%	-13.76%	12.36%	-0.93%	1.75%	-0.45%	98.97%
Eli Lilly and Company <sup>25</sup>	2015	100%	-45.02%	30.00%	-7.23%	-2.20%	-	75.55%
	2014	100%	-32.35%	-44.67%	-5.46%	-0.49%	-	17.03%
	2013	100%	0.51%	36.65%	2.89%	-1.75%	-	138.30%
Lockheed Martin Corporation	2015	100%	-	13.84%	-	-	-2.02%	111.82%
	2014	100%	-	-59.88%	-	-	-2.91%	37.22%
	2013	100%	-	130.26%	-	-	0.30%	230.56%
Lowe's Companies, Inc.	2015	100%	-11.43%	-	-	-	-	88.57%
	2014	100%	-3.19%	-	-	-	-	96.81%
	2013	100%	-2.97%	-	-0.04%	-	-	96.98%
Mastercard Inc.	2015	100%	-11.37%	1.02%	0.11%	-0.68%	-	89.08%
	2014	100%	-12.05%	0.08%	-0.14%	-	-	87.89%
	2013	100%	3.63%	0.26%	-0.13%	-	-	103.75%

<sup>24</sup> Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) was disclosed as a single line item in the Statement of Comprehensive Income. We allocated tax charge pro-rata, assuming the same tax rate for all items of OCI.

<sup>25</sup> Fiscal year ended 06/30/2015. Net Income was adjusted to exclude share of Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
McDonald's Corporation	2015	100%	-29.72%	-0.07%	-	-0.24%	-	69.97%
	2014	100%	-41.12%	-0.51%	-	0.70%	-	59.07%
	2013	100%	-5.00%	-0.93%	-	-0.67%	-	93.40%
Mondelez International, Inc.	2015	100%	-39.01%	2.66%	-	-0.60%	0.03%	63.08%
	2014	100%	-159.70%	-37.62%	-	-5.41%	0.73%	-102.00%
	2013	100%	-26.53%	16.93%	-	3.94%	-0.51%	93.82%
Medtronic <sup>26</sup>	2015	100%	-5.57%	-1.87%	-3.42%	-8.48%	-	80.67%
	2014	100%	-18.50%	-13.68%	0.75%	9.50%	-	78.06%
	2013	100%	0.42%	2.84%	-3.36%	-3.33%	-	96.57%
MetLife Inc <sup>27</sup>	2015	100%	-22.04%	4.80%	-101.00%	7.99%	-0.43%	-10.67%
	2014	100%	-13.90%	-9.34%	97.27%	13.34%	-0.28%	187.09%
	2013	100%	-21.00%	27.82%	-174.13%	-19.36%	0.45%	-86.21%
3M Company	2015	100%	-12.10%	10.10%	-	0.52%	-0.12%	98.39%
	2014	100%	-18.85%	-31.25%	0.04%	2.14%	-0.96%	51.12%
	2013	100%	-10.70%	26.37%	-	0.32%	0.42%	116.42%
Altria Group Inc.	2015	100%	-0.06%	0.57%	-	-	-11.96%	88.56%
	2014	100%	-0.04%	-15.13%	-	-	-10.55%	74.28%
	2013	100%	-0.04%	25.16%	-	-	-10.52%	114.60%
Monsanto Company <sup>28</sup>	2015	100%	2.62%	-4.04%	-0.07%	0.97%	-	99.48%
	2014	100%	-68.97%	-2.81%	-0.13%	-0.99%	-	27.10%
	2013	100%	3.65%	4.34%	-0.11%	-1.90%	-	105.99%
Merck & Company, Inc.	2015	100%	-4.68%	13.03%	-1.58%	-2.84%	-	103.94%
	2014	100%	-4.23%	-17.42%	0.48%	3.34%	-	82.16%
	2013	100%	-10.97%	62.62%	-0.43%	5.20%	-	156.43%
Morgan Stanley <sup>29</sup>	2015	100%	-4.96%	2.25%	-4.02%	-	0.07%	93.34%
	2014	100%	-14.16%	0.95%	6.03%	-	2.71%	95.53%
	2013	100%	-11.87%	-0.03%	-14.77%	-	6.99%	80.32%

<sup>26</sup> Fiscal year ended 04/29/2016.<sup>27</sup> Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) was disclosed as a single line item in the Statement of Comprehensive Income. We allocated tax charge pro-rata, assuming the same tax rate for all items of OCI.<sup>28</sup> Fiscal year ended 08/31/2016.<sup>29</sup> Net Income was adjusted to exclude share of Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
Microsoft Corporation <sup>30</sup>	2015	100%	-3.09%	-	-1.36%	-1.42%	-	94.14%
	2014	100%	-11.34%	-	-2.97%	4.58%	-	90.27%
	2013	100%	1.19%	-	7.87%	-0.16%	-	108.90%
NextEra Energy Inc.	2015	100%	-0.98%	-1.52%	-1.59%	-0.91%	0.04%	95.04%
	2014	100%	-1.01%	-1.74%	0.85%	-1.74%	-0.41%	95.95%
	2013	100%	-2.36%	5.08%	5.29%	7.91%	0.37%	116.30%
Nike Inc.	2015	100%	-4.68%	-	-	-20.13%	0.13%	75.32%
	2014	100%	-0.61%	-	-	36.30%	-0.21%	135.47%
	2013	100%	-1.19%	-	-	-5.98%	0.15%	92.98%
Oracle Corporation <sup>31</sup>	2015	100%	0.82%	0.56%	0.81%	-0.17%	-	102.02%
	2014	100%	-7.75%	-1.52%	0.59%	0.30%	-	91.63%
	2013	100%	-0.71%	0.21%	-0.14%	0.05%	-	99.41%
Occidental Petroleum Corporation	2015	100%	0.03%	-0.61%	-	-0.05%	-	99.36%
	2014	100%	-0.32%	-12.50%	-	0.49%	3.57%	91.23%
	2013	100%	0.51%	2.98%	-	-0.12%	-	103.37%
Priceline Group Inc.	2015	100%	-4.49%	-	24.27%	-	-	119.78%
	2014	100%	-7.74%	-	-6.49%	-	-	85.77%
	2013	100%	5.18%	-	0.00%	-	0.54%	105.72%
PepsiCo, Inc.	2015	100%	-51.39%	3.11%	0.02%	0.05%	-0.85%	50.94%
	2014	100%	-76.40%	-9.74%	-0.09%	1.68%	-0.64%	14.81%
	2013	100%	-19.20%	24.02%	0.43%	0.27%	-0.90%	104.61%
Pfizer Inc. <sup>32</sup>	2015	100%	-58.30%	30.37%	-0.94%	-3.09%	0.01%	68.05%
	2014	100%	-18.16%	-26.68%	-3.69%	4.43%	-0.39%	55.51%
	2013	100%	-1.02%	11.96%	-0.22%	1.02%	-0.03%	111.72%
Procter & Gamble Company <sup>33</sup>	2015	100%	-15.83%	-13.93%	0.26%	0.01%	-0.91%	69.61%
	2014	100%	-101.06%	11.81%	0.34%	17.27%	-1.51%	26.85%
	2013	100%	8.86%	-7.37%	0.08%	-2.94%	-1.27%	97.34%

<sup>30</sup> Fiscal year ended 06/30/2015.<sup>31</sup> Fiscal year ended 05/31/2016.<sup>32</sup> Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) was disclosed as a single line item in the Statement of Comprehensive Income. We allocated tax charge pro-rata, assuming the same tax rate for all items of OCI.<sup>33</sup> Fiscal year ended 06/30/2016.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
Philip Morris International Inc.	2015	100%	-31.98%	-4.39%	-	-0.91%	-1.61%	61.11%
	2014	100%	-22.86%	-12.73%	-	0.78%	-1.76%	63.42%
	2013	100%	-21.33%	14.94%	-	-0.33%	-2.99%	90.28%
PayPal Holdings Inc.	2015	100%	-3.01%	-	-1.06%	-5.62%	-	90.31%
	2014	100%	-10.02%	-0.95%	-	51.79%	-	140.81%
	2013	100%	1.15%	0.21%	-	-4.71%	-	96.65%
Qualcomm Inc. <sup>34</sup>	2015	100%	-0.02%	-	4.16%	-0.05%	0.05%	104.14%
	2014	100%	-0.89%	-	-8.47%	1.03%	0.06%	91.72%
	2013	100%	0.03%	-	-1.29%	-0.23%	0.04%	98.54%
Raytheon Company <sup>35</sup>	2015	100%	-1.69%	15.60%	-0.15%	-0.12%	0.34%	113.98%
	2014	100%	-1.45%	-102.14%	0.03%	-0.29%	-0.62%	-4.47%
	2013	100%	-0.42%	133.40%	0.03%	-0.13%	-0.84%	232.04%
Starbucks Corporation <sup>36</sup>	2015	100%	-7.85%	-	0.03%	1.21%	-0.48%	92.91%
	2014	100%	-3.74%	-	0.05%	1.57%	0.13%	98.00%
	2013	100%	-469.32%	-	-4.55%	490.91%	480.68%	597.73%
Schlumberger Limited	2015	100%	-24.45%	5.76%	-0.47%	2.67%	-2.95%	80.56%
	2014	100%	-8.41%	-16.31%	-3.01%	-2.27%	-1.24%	68.76%
	2013	100%	-2.23%	21.42%	0.52%	-0.01%	-0.62%	119.07%
Southern Company	2015	100%	-	0.21%	-	-0.29%	-2.79%	97.13%
	2014	100%	-	-2.36%	-	-0.25%	-3.35%	94.04%
	2013	100%	-	2.46%	-0.18%	0.53%	-3.86%	98.95%
Simon Property Group Inc.	2015	100%	-7.49%	-	-0.52%	0.80%	-16.31%	76.47%
	2014	100%	-6.16%	-	6.23%	0.32%	-14.19%	86.18%
	2013	100%	0.18%	-	-0.10%	0.46%	-14.52%	86.02%
AT&T Inc.	2015	100%	-8.68%	-5.95%	-0.11%	-5.30%	-2.38%	77.58%
	2014	100%	5.06%	-7.50%	0.73%	4.39%	-4.36%	98.32%
	2013	100%	-0.45%	10.65%	0.96%	2.96%	-1.61%	112.50%

<sup>34</sup> Fiscal year ended 09/25/2016.<sup>35</sup> Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) was disclosed as a single line item in the Statement of Comprehensive Income. We allocated tax charge pro-rata, assuming the same tax rate for all items of OCI.<sup>36</sup> Fiscal year ended 09/27/2015.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
Target Corporation <sup>37</sup>	2015	100%	-0.09%	-0.80%	-	-	-	99.11%
	2014	100%	-26.34%	8.50%	-	-	-	82.15%
	2013	100%	-21.56%	5.58%	-	-	-	84.02%
Time Warner Inc.	2015	100%	-7.41%	-0.10%	0.03%	0.13%	0.03%	92.67%
	2014	100%	-5.96%	-4.39%	-0.37%	-0.16%	-	89.13%
	2013	100%	-0.76%	3.96%	0.35%	0.16%	-	103.71%
Texas Instruments Incorporated	2015	100%	-	-0.03%	-	-	0.03%	100.00%
	2014	100%	-	-0.18%	-	0.04%	-	99.86%
	2013	100%	-	7.86%	-	0.05%	-	107.91%
UnitedHealth Group Inc.	2015	100%	-30.25%	-	-2.85%	-	-0.94%	65.97%
	2014	100%	-11.62%	-	3.01%	-	-	91.39%
	2013	100%	-15.58%	-	-8.14%	-	-0.85%	75.43%
Union Pacific Corporation	2015	100%	-0.90%	1.22%	-	-	-	100.31%
	2014	100%	-0.23%	-8.65%	-	-	-	91.12%
	2013	100%	-0.02%	9.94%	-	0.02%	-	109.94%
United Parcel Service, Inc.	2015	100%	-9.08%	10.09%	-0.02%	0.12%	-	101.11%
	2014	100%	-10.92%	-101.72%	0.03%	9.23%	-	-3.36%
	2013	100%	-5.95%	70.77%	-0.16%	1.53%	-	166.19%
U.S. Bancorp <sup>38</sup>	2015	100%	0.20%	-1.40%	-4.49%	-0.25%	2.95%	97.02%
	2014	100%	-0.04%	-7.65%	7.98%	-0.43%	2.13%	102.00%
	2013	100%	-0.35%	6.12%	-12.60%	0.38%	5.68%	99.23%
United Technologies Corporation	2015	100%	-18.33%	7.21%	-0.19%	-1.63%	-3.58%	83.48%
	2014	100%	-19.55%	-36.87%	0.18%	-1.96%	-4.97%	36.83%
	2013	100%	-8.15%	48.83%	2.47%	-1.34%	-6.12%	135.69%
Visa Inc. <sup>39</sup>	2015	100%	-	-1.22%	-0.41%	0.73%	-	99.10%
	2014	100%	-0.02%	-0.44%	-0.51%	0.28%	-	99.30%
	2013	100%	-	2.53%	1.12%	0.20%	-	103.86%

<sup>37</sup> Fiscal year ended 01/30/2016.<sup>38</sup> Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) was disclosed as a single line item in the Statement of Comprehensive Income. We allocated tax charge pro-rata, assuming the same tax rate for all items of OCI.<sup>39</sup> Fiscal year ended 09/30/2015.

**Table 1. A Reconciliation in Percentage Terms of Net Income to Other Comprehensive Income for the S&P 100, Fiscal Years 2013-2015 (continued)**

Company	Fiscal Year	Net Income	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Other	Total comprehensive income/(loss)
Verizon Communications Inc. <sup>40</sup>	2015	100%	-1.16%	-0.83%	-0.06%	-1.09%	-	96.86%
	2014	100%	-12.46%	1.60%	-0.05%	-2.05%	-	87.04%
	2013	100%	0.52%	0.19%	0.14%	0.22%	-	101.07%
Walgreens Boots Rg.	2015	100%	-55.79%	-5.75%	-6.13%	0.07%	0.91%	33.31%
	2014	100%	-14.54%	0.33%	3.55%	-0.30%	1.40%	90.44%
	2013	100%	10.59%	-2.36%	5.22%	-1.33%	-5.76%	106.35%
Wells Fargo & Co.	2015	100%	-0.40%	-1.11%	-13.55%	1.29%	-0.29%	85.93%
	2014	100%	-0.14%	-2.69%	10.04%	1.05%	0.98%	109.25%
	2013	100%	-0.16%	5.07%	-22.26%	-0.92%	-1.22%	80.51%
Wal-Mart Stores Inc.	2015	100%	-35.52%	0.59%	-	1.12%	3.68%	69.86%
	2014	100%	-27.86%	-0.42%	-0.56%	-	3.34%	74.50%
	2013	100%	-20.10%	0.95%	0.00%	1.76%	2.35%	84.96%
Exxon Mobil Corporation	2015	100%	-56.29%	23.00%	0.36%	-	3.00%	70.06%
	2014	100%	-16.94%	-9.37%	-0.18%	-	-1.25%	72.25%
	2013	100%	-10.89%	14.93%	-	-	-2.27%	101.77%

<sup>40</sup> Net Income was adjusted to exclude share of Net Income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

***Prevalence of losses in other comprehensive income***

In comparing comprehensive income with net income, we observe a prevalence of OCI losses with a high variability in amounts. Table 2 below presents the number of sample firms that report other comprehensive income and other comprehensive loss along with the average amounts of each reported as a percentage of net income.

Tables 3 and 4 detail the components of OCI that contributed the most to the net comprehensive income/(loss). We use the results in Tables 3 and 4 to identify patterns in OCI and to determine if any category of OCI gains/(losses) would stand out as being more likely to result in losses.

In calculating the average gains and losses presented in Tables 3 and 4, we use judgment to exclude significant outliers. Several gains and losses are quite distant from the mean and some categories of OCI line items show great variability in amounts. Overall, we exclude 13 items of investment gains/(losses), 12 items of pension-related gains/(losses), 8 items of foreign currency gains/(losses), and 8 items of derivative gains/(losses). Exhibit 1 in the Appendix provides detailed information on all excluded outliers. This design decision allows for a more meaningful comparison between different periods and categories and more realistic averages.

**Table 2. Number of Companies Reporting Other Comprehensive Income and Other Comprehensive Loss, with the Mean and Median Amounts Reported as a Percentage of Net Income**

	2015	2014	2013
Companies that reported Other Comprehensive Income, %	15%	19%	54%
Mean Other Comprehensive Income, % of Net Income	12.92%	29.83%	39.82%
Median Other Comprehensive Income, % of Net Income	3.94%	9.25%	16.36%
Companies that reported Other Comprehensive Loss, %	85%	81%	46%
Mean Other Comprehensive Loss, % of Net Income	-25.24%	-34.91%	-15.64%
Median Other Comprehensive Loss, % of Net Income	-11.94%	-17.85%	-6.81%

**Table 3. Average (Mean) Gains as a Percentage of Net Income for Companies that Report Total Other Comprehensive Income**

	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Debt valuation gain/(loss)	Other
2015	0.74%	7.10%	1.39%	1.54%	3.87%	1.51%
2014	9.33%	3.35%	2.71%	3.96%	-	1.12%
2013	2.20%	19.14%	2.09%	1.48%	-	26.32%

**Table 4. Average (Mean) Losses as a Percentage of Net Income for Companies that Report Total Other Comprehensive Loss**

	Foreign currency gain/(loss)	Pension gain/(loss)	Investment gain/(loss)	Derivatives gain/(loss)	Debt valuation gain/(loss)	Other
2015	-17.08%	-3.70%	-3.80%	-1.62%	-	-1.82%
2014	-17.24%	-13.61%	-1.63%	-2.45%	-	-3.73%
2013	-6.51%	-2.24%	-9.67%	-2.33%	-	-3.23%

Our findings show a prevalence of losses in other comprehensive income. Specifically, in 2015 85% of companies report other comprehensive loss compared to 15% reporting other comprehensive income. In 2014, 81% of companies report other comprehensive loss, while in 2013, the number of companies reporting losses and gains are approximately equal, with 54% reporting other comprehensive gains. Refer to Table 2. In comparing the mean and median amounts of gains and losses reported, we see that the mean and median other comprehensive loss exceeds other comprehensive income in two of the three years studied, 2015 and 2014. Mean and median gains exceed losses in 2013. Our data suggest a tendency for companies to keep losses in OCI both more frequently and in larger amounts than gains.

In examining Tables 3 and 4, where gains and losses are presented as a percentage of net income, we see that other comprehensive losses are driven mostly by negative foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments. The prevalence of other comprehensive losses driven by negative foreign currency translation adjustments is understandable given the general strength of the dollar over the 2013 through 2015 time period. As the dollar appreciates, companies experience losses on subsidiaries and associate companies denominated in foreign currencies. However, it is interesting that the average (mean) amount of unrealized investment gains is less than average amount of unrealized investment losses for two of the three years studied. This in a period of generally strong stock and bond price movements. For example, as observed in Tables 3 and 4, in 2013 the share of unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments as a percentage of net income is 9.67% compared to 2.09% share of investment gains. The average amount of losses on investments also exceed gains in 2013. In 2014, the average investment gains exceed losses only marginally. These results suggest that companies may be delaying recognition of investment losses by keeping more losses than gains in other comprehensive income.

Given the prevalence of losses in OCI, the expectation is that we may be seeing future losses in net income. A few companies stand out for the largest losses reported in OCI, including AIG Inc., and MetLife, Inc.

We see that while the income statement accurately reflects a firm's past profitability, it has some shortcomings and could be open to manipulation. Our findings show that companies may try to leverage OCI as a legal way of reporting more gains in the income statement, while keeping losses in OCI. Since companies have a fair amount of freedom on the timing and impact of losses, they may delay recognition of losses until the actual financial situation improves to positively influence investor perceptions of their performance. A careful review of both the income statement and statement of comprehensive income will allow analysts and investors to account for this “cherry picking” tendency. By shifting the analysis focus from net income to comprehensive income, users can get better insights into the total wealth created for shareholders, and anticipate how OCI gains/(losses) would impact future earnings.

## Results Specific to Each Component of Other Comprehensive Income

We review each component of OCI to better understand what category may allow for easier manipulation of earnings. Tables 5-9 below present summary statistics for each of these four main categories of other comprehensive income. In reviewing the results, we seek to understand whether the recorded OCI gains and losses align with our expectations and external economic factors or whether management is selectively choosing to keep more losses than gains in OCI. Also, we review the largest reclassifications from AOCI to the income statement for each category to see what impact they could have on future earnings.

### *Investment Gains and Losses*

Recall that investment gains/(losses) recognized in other comprehensive income include re-measurements to fair value of available-for-sale securities. Table 5 below presents the distribution and mean of Investment gains/(losses) reported in OCI by our sample companies over the three-year period. Assuming most of these gains/(losses) come from investments in equity securities, one may expect that an increase in stock prices, as measured by the major indices, would result in seeing more investment gains in OCI. The opposite would also be true, with stock price declines leading to the prevalence of investment losses. Table 6 below presents the annual changes in mean investment gains/(losses) for all 100 companies from our sample along with the changes in major stock market indices (S&P500, NASDAQ, Dow Jones Industrial Average, and NYSE Composite Index) to test this assumption.

**Table 5. Distribution and Mean for Investment Gains and Losses Reported in OCI**

	Number of companies <sup>41</sup>	% of companies with gains or losses	Mean investment gain/(loss), % of Net Income <sup>42</sup>
<b>2013</b>			
Investment gain	30	41%	2.09%
Investment loss	44	59%	-9.67%
<b>2014</b>			
Investment gain	45	63%	2.71%
Investment loss	27	38%	-1.63%
<b>2015</b>			
Investment gain	19	25%	1.39%
Investment loss	57	75%	-3.80%

<sup>41</sup> 26, 28, and 24 companies in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively, did not have Investment gains (losses) in Other Comprehensive Income.

<sup>42</sup> Mean was calculated excluding outliers listed in Exhibit 1.

**Table 6. Annual Changes in OCI Investment Gains (Losses) vs. Changes in Stock Market Indices**

Year	All 100 companies		Annual change in major stock market indices			
	Mean investment gain/(loss), % of Net Income	Annual change in mean investment gain/(loss), %	S&P500	NASDAQ	Dow Jones Industrial Average	NYSE Composite Index
2013	-3.63%	n/a	30%	38%	26%	23%
2014	0.66%	118%	11%	13%	8%	4%
2015	-1.28%	-294%	-1%	6%	-2%	-6%

In reviewing Table 5, we see that in 2013 and 2015, 59% and 75%, respectively, of our sample companies choose to leave investment losses in OCI and exclude them from the income statement. For these years, the amount of mean investment losses exceeded the amount of mean investment gains. It is especially surprising to see investment losses in OCI in 2013 given the particularly strong performance seen in equity prices that year. While our data does not speak to the motives of the decisions made to recognize losses in OCI, it can indicate a general tendency to delay the recognition of losses. By keeping investment losses “on paper”, companies are able to paint their performance in a more positive light.

We observe multiple reclassifications of investment gains and losses from AOCI to the income statement. In fiscal years 2013-2015, 77 such reclassifications occurred for 27 companies in the S&P100. The total value of reclassifications varied greatly from \$796 million of investment loss (Pfizer Inc.) to \$3,360 million of investment gain (Berkshire Hathaway Inc.) – both were reclassified from AOCI to net income following the sale of the underlying securities. These reclassifications show that unrealized gains and losses may indeed have a material effect on future earnings.

Our findings affirm that companies may delay recognition of investment losses by keeping them in other comprehensive income. An easy way to achieve this result is by postponing the sale of available-for-sale securities for which losses are recorded in OCI. Since other comprehensive income is often treated as somewhat less important than net income, OCI losses are less prominent and less likely to catch investors’ attention. The abundance of reclassifications from OCI to net income demonstrates that ignoring unrealized losses can be dangerous. Hence, it is important for readers of financial statements to pay attention to these OCI line items. Taking a closer look at OCI could provide analysts with better insights on how companies manage their investments and whether potential future losses should be anticipated.

### ***Foreign Currency Gains and Losses***

Foreign currency translation gains/(losses) recognized in OCI include effects of translating the financial statements of foreign subsidiaries and associate companies to the presentation currency and the effects of certain foreign currency transactions. All S&P100 companies used the U.S. dollar as their presentation currency in their 10-K filings. Since the value of the U.S. dollar has been increasing recently<sup>43</sup>, the expectation is that multinational companies would record more

<sup>43</sup> As measured by the US Dollar Index (DXY) over 2013-2015.

foreign currency losses than gains in OCI. Table 7 below presents the distribution and summary statistics for foreign currency gains and losses reported in OCI.

**Table 7. Distribution and Mean for Foreign Currency Gains and Losses Reported in OCI**

	Number of companies <sup>44</sup>	% of companies	Mean foreign currency gain/(loss), % of Net Income <sup>45</sup>
<b>2013</b>			
Foreign currency gain	28	29%	2.20%
Foreign currency loss	67	71%	-6.51%
<b>2014</b>			
Foreign currency gain	7	7%	9.33%
Foreign currency loss	90	93%	-17.24%
<b>2015</b>			
Foreign currency gain	8	8%	0.74%
Foreign currency loss	88	92%	-17.08%

As we expected, we see that most companies (depending on the year, between 71% and 93%), reported foreign currency losses in OCI as opposed to foreign currency gains in 2013-2015. The amount of mean foreign currency losses exceeds the amount of mean foreign currency gains in each reporting period. Thus, our sample companies reported losses in OCI both more frequently and in larger amounts than gains. The observed trend is in line with the recent appreciation of the U.S. dollar, as measured by the U.S. Dollar index (DXY). The DXY Index is a measure of the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the value of a basket of currencies of U.S.'s most significant trading partners. The index improved by 0.36%, 12.74%, and 8.29% during 2013, 2014, and 2015, respectively.<sup>46</sup>

Given the strengthening of U.S. dollar, the prevalence of foreign currency losses should be mostly attributed to the external factors that are outside of a company's control (i.e. volatility in exchange rates) as opposed to internal factors (i.e. managers' preference to keep losses in OCI). When we look at reclassifications of foreign currency gains/(losses) from AOCI to net income, we see that most of them are also driven by external events. For example, Mondelez International Inc. reclassified \$99 million of realized exchange losses following the deconsolidation of its Venezuela subsidiary in 2015. The recorded loss includes historical cumulative translation adjustments related to Venezuelan operations which had previously been recorded within equity. The deconsolidation decision is driven by the external events as the Venezuelan legal and regulatory environment became unreliable. PepsiCo Inc. provides a similar example of deconsolidation. In 2015, the company recorded a \$111 million loss (equals 2% net income) from the reclassification of cumulative translation losses on previously consolidated Venezuelan subsidiaries and joint venture.

<sup>44</sup> 5, 3, and 4 companies, respectively, did not have Foreign currency gains (losses) in Other Comprehensive Income in 2013, 2014 and 2015.

<sup>45</sup> Mean was calculated excluding outliers listed in Exhibit 1.

<sup>46</sup> DXY closed at 80.5, 80.79, 91.08, 98.63 in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, respectively.

These companies are not the only ones affected by reclassification of foreign exchange gains and losses. Overall, 32 reclassifications of foreign currency gains/(losses) are recorded by 12 companies in the sample. The value of reclassifications varied from \$416 million of foreign currency loss (AT&T) to \$62 million in foreign currency gains (Pfizer Inc.). Losses are reclassified more often than gains by a factor of three to one.

While one could argue that translation effects are “non-operating” gains (losses) and so are less relevant, we see that OCI can provide useful insights on how well a company manages its foreign currency risks, and it can also point potential problems before these losses flow through the income statement. We believe that since translation gains/(losses) affect shareholder value, they are important to determining real value creation for the shareholder. Significant unrealized foreign currency losses can highlight the need for additional planning to minimize the impact of future reclassifications on earnings. Hence, OCI scrutiny is vital to avoiding unexpected surprises.

### ***Pension-related Gains and Losses***

Pension-related re-measurements included in OCI include unrealized revaluation gains/(losses) on securities held as plan assets, prior service costs or credits, as well as plan transition assets or obligations that are not recognized as a component of net periodic benefit/cost in net income. Since the movement in pension re-measurements depends on a combination of external factors and firms’ internal activities, it is not clear what would be the net effect on OCI. With a recent run of stock market gains<sup>47</sup>, we expect the actual return on equity investments held as plan assets to exceed expected returns, resulting in OCI gains. At the same time U.S. interest rates were at their historical lowest level of 0.25-0.50% during 2013-2015<sup>48</sup> and it would have a dual effect on OCI. On one hand, lower interest rates increase the present value of pension obligations, leading to actuarial losses. On the other hand, lower interest rates increase the value of debt securities held as plan assets, leading to actuarial gains. Other miscellaneous events such as plan changes, higher or lower settlement activity and changes in mortality assumptions would be specific to each company, and we expect the effect of these events to be immaterial. Table 8 below presents the distribution and summary statistics for pension gains/(losses) reported in OCI by the S&P100 companies over 2013-2015.

---

<sup>47</sup> As measured by the S&P500 index over 2013-2015.

<sup>48</sup> As measured by the U.S. FOMC’s target federal funds rate.

**Table 8. Distribution and Mean for Pension Gains and Losses Reported in OCI**

	Number of companies <sup>49</sup>	% of companies	Mean pension gain/(loss), % of Net Income <sup>50</sup>
<b>2013</b>			
Pension gain	74	93%	19.14%
Pension loss	6	8%	-2.24%
<b>2014</b>			
Pension gain	7	9%	3.35%
Pension loss	74	91%	-13.61%
<b>2015</b>			
Pension gain	52	66%	7.10%
Pension loss	27	34%	-3.70%

From Table 8, we see significant volatility in the amounts of pension gains and losses reported in OCI and no clear prevalence of losses. In fact, more companies report pension-related gains than losses in 2013 and 2015, while the opposite is true for 2014. We see that pension re-measurements can be material: unrealized pension losses comprise 13.61% of net income in 2014, and unrealized pension gains represent 19.14% of net income in 2013. Recall that such re-measurements bypass the income statement due to the high volatility in the fair value of pension plan assets and liabilities. The data above illustrate this point. By excluding these items from net income, a much less volatile measure of net income is afforded.

Initially we assumed that any effect of pension-related reclassifications on net income would be long-term, so we did not expect to see many reclassifications. However, the observed results are on par with reclassifications of foreign currency gains/(losses). Specifically, 36 items of pension-related gains/(losses) are reclassified from AOCI by 12 S&P100 companies during 2013-2015. The total value of reclassifications varied from \$1,029 million of pension losses (Pfizer Inc.) to \$78 million of pension gains (PepsiCo Inc.). Common reasons for reclassifications include amortization of losses, amortization of prior service benefits and costs, plan settlement or curtailment (DuPont, Mondelez International Inc.), and plan adjustments related to subsidiary deconsolidation (Mondelez International Inc.). Some reclassifications have a material impact on earnings. For example, Lockheed Martin Corporation reclassified \$1,015 million, \$706 million and \$850 million of pension-related losses from AOCI to the income statement, which comprises 34%, 20% and 23% of company's net earnings in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively. Similarly, Pfizer Inc. reclassified the net amount of \$1,029 million or 15% of total net income in 2015.

Based on the above, one cannot say with certainty what would be the net effect from pension-related reclassifications on future earnings given how “noisy” these items are. However, we see that the impact of such reclassifications can be material, so it is important to take pension

<sup>49</sup> 20, 19, and 21 companies, respectively, did not have Pension gains (losses) in Other Comprehensive Income in 2013, 2014 and 2015.

<sup>50</sup> Mean was calculated excluding outliers listed in Exhibit 1.

gains/(losses) seriously when they appear in other comprehensive income. The above mentioned CFA Institute report underscores this idea<sup>51</sup>. The report quotes IASB Chairman Hans Hoogervorst, who said in 2014,

Unrealized income does not only consist of gains, but also of losses. Downplaying the significance of unrealized losses can be very hazardous.

A very real example of the dangers of relegating unrealized losses to OCI is what happened in the first decade of this century with some big American car manufacturers and airline companies. Their employee benefit schemes had caused huge liabilities to build accumulated OCI. Although unrealized, these deficits were not unreal...

While income in OCI may be of a less certain nature than income captured in profit or loss, OCI may contain indicators of risk that may materialize sooner than you think. Clearly, ignoring unrealized elements of income may be hazardous to your financial health.<sup>52</sup>

Thus, both the speech, CFA report and our study emphasize that all OCI items, including pension re-measurements, have important economic information content and should not be ignored. Since pension-related gains/(losses) are accumulated in equity over many years, these OCI items are likely to lead to material reclassifications in the future. If readers of financial statements do not pay attention to OCI, they can be blindsided by the ultimate income statement effects of these reclassifications.

### ***Derivative gains and losses***

The last category of primary OCI items includes unrealized gains/(losses) on derivatives held as cash flow hedges. These derivative instruments hedge the exposure to variability in cash flows of a recognized asset/liability or cash flows from an anticipated transaction. They can be used, for example, to hedge variable interest rates, foreign currency exposure, or commodity price risks. Table 9 below presents distribution and summary statistics for derivative gains and losses reported in OCI by the S&P100 companies.

---

<sup>51</sup> Vincent Papa, Sandra Peters, "Analyzing Bank Performance: Role of Comprehensive Income", CFA Institute, February 2015: <http://www.cfapubs.org/doi/pdf/10.2469/cfm.v26.n3.14>

<sup>52</sup> Hoogervorst, H. 2014. "The Dangers of Ignoring Unrealized Income." Speech delivered at the IFRS Conference in Tokyo, 3 September 2014: [www.ifrs.org/Alerts/Conference/Documents/2014/Speech-Hans-Hoogervorst-Dangers-of-ignoring-unrealised-income-September-2014.pdf](http://www.ifrs.org/Alerts/Conference/Documents/2014/Speech-Hans-Hoogervorst-Dangers-of-ignoring-unrealised-income-September-2014.pdf)

Corporate Reporting of Other Comprehensive Income. © 2017 Scheller College of Business, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30308-0520

**Table 9. Distribution and Mean for Derivative Gains and Losses Reported in OCI**

	Number of companies <sup>53</sup>	% of companies	Mean derivative gain/(loss), % of Net Income <sup>54</sup>
<b>2013</b>			
Derivative gain	39	51%	1.48%
Derivative loss	37	49%	-2.33%
<b>2014</b>			
Derivative gain	48	66%	3.96%
Derivative loss	25	34%	-2.45%
<b>2015</b>			
Derivative gain	33	45%	1.54%
Derivative loss	41	55%	-1.62%

After excluding significant outliers (Refer to the Appendix, Exhibit 1), we see that over the 2013 to 2015 time period, mean unrealized derivative gains and losses represent between one and four percent of net income. The mean values are low because derivative gains and losses are distributed approximately equally and therefore offset each other. If we look at the derivative gains/(losses) reclassified from AOCI to the income statement, we see that this category has the greatest number of reclassifications. In fiscal years 2013-2015, 89 reclassifications are reported by 30 companies from our sample. The total value of reclassifications varied from \$477 million of derivative loss (Pfizer Inc.) to \$3,497 million of derivative gains (Apple). We discuss both reclassifications below.

During the year ended September 26, 2015, Apple recognized a \$3,497 million gain on all its hedges (net of tax expense of \$630). This was the total amount reclassified out of AOCI and the reclassification led to a 6.55% increase in net income. While the impact of this reclassification is positive, it illustrates that all derivative contracts eventually expire and need to be replaced. Apple's foreign exchange derivative contracts are typically in place for a period of 12 months and two thirds of company's revenue is generated abroad.<sup>55</sup> So, the amount of hedged currency exposure will remain to be material in the future. In 2014 Pfizer Inc. reclassified \$477 million of net realized losses on cash flow hedges from AOCI to the income statement. The amount is comprised of a \$332 million gain on foreign currency forward-exchange contracts and an \$808 million loss on foreign currency swaps. The reclassification led to 5% drop in net income.

Since every hedging contract is different, the impact of reclassified gains/(losses) on net income would be transaction-specific and therefore hard to predict. The timing of reclassification would likely depend on the timing of the hedged transaction (as opposed to management's willingness to report more gains and delay recognition of losses). Due to the transitory and highly volatile nature of derivative re-measurements reported in OCI, investors may not pay sufficient attention to this

<sup>53</sup> 24, 27, and 26 companies, respectively, did not have Derivative gains (losses) in Other Comprehensive Income in 2013, 2014 and 2015.

<sup>54</sup> Mean was calculated excluding outliers listed in Exhibit 1.

<sup>55</sup> Evan Niu, "Apple, Inc.'s Foreign Exchange Risk Isn't Going Away Anytime Soon", *The Motley Fool*, January 22, 2016:

<https://www.fool.com/investing/general/2016/01/22/apple-incs-foreign-exchange-risk-isnt-going-away-a.aspx>

Corporate Reporting of Other Comprehensive Income. © 2017 Scheller College of Business, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30308-0520

line item. However, the above examples show that reclassifications can be material, so unrealized gains/(losses) on derivatives are important and relevant for investment analysis. The OCI statement may alert investors that a company is facing significant foreign exchange headwinds or interest rate risks, and reveal how big of an impact these risks may have on future earnings.

### **Presentation of OCI Gains (Losses) in The Financial Statements**

Given the prevalence of losses for certain OCI items, we review the presentation format used by companies to report other comprehensive income to see if companies opt to “hide” unrealized losses in separate disclosures. Indeed, components of comprehensive income are most frequently reported as two separate consecutive statements with net income reported in the income statement and items comprising OCI reported in a separate statement of other comprehensive income. In our sample, 98% companies choose this presentation method over presenting OCI in a more prominent way, as a single continuous statement with the income statement. Exelon Corporation and Simon Property Group are the only two companies from our sample that report both components in a single “consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income”. It is expected that the form of presentation affects the way readers view and use OCI information. So, presenting OCI in a separate disclosure may be a means of diverting investors’ attention from the potential risks and unrealized losses in OCI.

### **Conclusion**

In this study, we examine the earnings releases of the S&P 100 companies who reported other comprehensive income/(loss) over the three-year period of 2013-2015. Our findings apply across multiple industry groups. Our objectives are to determine if there is a systematic tendency for companies to include more gains or losses in other comprehensive income, what components of other comprehensive income show more unexpected losses and what impact these gains and losses may have on future earnings.

Our study findings show a tendency for our sample firms to include losses in OCI. We find that while in 2013 our sample companies were rather evenly split on reporting overall other comprehensive income or loss, in 2014 and 2015, 81% and 85%, respectively, of our sample companies report an overall other comprehensive loss, where other comprehensive losses exceed other comprehensive gains. Further, the losses reported in OCI are on average greater than reported OCI gains. Overall, our findings affirm that there is a tendency for companies to report losses in OCI both more frequently and in greater amounts than gains.

In examining the impact of different OCI components on net income we find that total other comprehensive loss was mostly driven by negative foreign currency translation adjustments and by unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments. The prevalence of investment losses is of particular note given the discretionary nature of the timing of the recognition in net income of these losses. In 2013 and 2015, respectively, 59% and 75% of sample companies reported more investment losses than gains in OCI. The mean value of unrealized investment losses is found to be greater than the mean value of gains. While our data do not speak to the motives of these decisions, it affirms a general tendency of companies to delay recognition of investment losses by

keeping them in OCI. By leaving material investment losses “on paper” companies can paint their performance in a more positive light.

The review of translation re-measurements also shows a prevalence of losses with 71 and 93%, respectively of companies reporting foreign currency losses as opposed to foreign currency gains in OCI in 2013 and 2015. The amount of mean foreign currency losses exceed the amount of mean foreign currency gains for each reporting period. Given the strengthening of the U.S. dollar, the prevalence of translation losses is mostly driven by exchange rate movements as opposed to managers’ preference to keep losses in OCI. However, it is still possible for companies to delay recognition of foreign currency losses, for example, by postponing divestment of a foreign subsidiary. Multiple reclassifications of foreign currency losses show that these OCI items do eventually flow through net income. The study findings confirm that OCI information is economically meaningful for both investors and CFOs, as it highlights the risks and the need for additional planning to minimize the impact of future reclassifications on earnings.

Several newspaper articles and academic research publications suggest that investors are not incentivized to pay much attention to OCI information. They tend to overlook the statement of other comprehensive income, thinking that it simply aggregates volatile and transitory fair value re-measurements and/or includes unrealized gains/(losses) that are less relevant to performance analysis. We hope to change that perception. Our findings show that 43 companies of the S&P 100 reclassified accumulated gains and losses from AOCI to net income over the period of 2013-2015. Derivative gains/(losses) were transferred to earnings most frequently (89 reclassifications), followed by the reclassification of realized investment gains/(losses) (77 reclassifications) and pension-related reclassifications (36). When these OCI gains/(losses) became realized and were recorded in net income, they often had a material impact on earnings. Hence, our study shows both the significance of OCI components in relation to net income, and the transfer of accumulated material losses from AOCI to the income statement. This affirms that OCI information is relevant: it can enhance performance analysis and help investors anticipate the potential impact of such reclassifications on earnings. We hope to encourage all users of financial statements to increase scrutiny and incorporate different OCI components in their analysis.

Our findings highlight that the income statement alone does not tell the whole story of company’s performance and how various economic events affected the business. The statement of other comprehensive income provides loopholes that companies can exploit to hide significant risk exposures and losses that can be damaging to earnings. Analysts and investors should make efforts to review OCI information, as it is a precursor of future net income. Accumulated unrealized gains/(losses) are often material, so ignoring them is dangerous and can leave the users of financial statements blindsided by the ultimate income statement effects of these items.

**Appendix****Exhibit 1. Outliers excluded from calculating summary statistics on OCI gains and losses.**

	Amount, % of Net Income		
	2013	2014	2015
<b>Investment gains/losses</b>			
MetLife Inc	-173%	92%	-100%
Berkshire Hathaway	74%	-	29%
American International Group Inc	-	75%	-300%
Bank of America	-	96%	-
The Bank of New York Mellon	-	14%	-
Citigroup Inc	-	23%	-
Celgene Corporation	-	16%	-
Allstate Corporation	-	-	-60%
Priceline Group Inc	-	-	24%
<b>Forex gains/losses</b>			
Starbucks Corporation	-469%	-	-
Amazon.com, Inc.	23%	134%	-
Mondelez International, Inc.	-	-159%	-
ConocoPhillips	-	-	115%
Kinder Morgan Inc	-	-	-103%
Du Pont (E I Du Pont De Nemours And Co)	-	-	-95%
General Electric Company	-	-	51%
<b>Pensions gains/losses</b>			
Boeing Co	167%	-69%	-
General Motors Company	107%	-112%	-
Lockheed Martin Corporation	130%	-60%	-
Raytheon Company	133%	-102%	-
United Parcel Service, Inc.	-	-102%	-
Du Pont (E I Du Pont De Nemours And Co)	-	-74%	-
General Electric Company	-	-	-84%
Kinder Morgan Inc	-	-	-59%
<b>Derivative gains/(losses)</b>			
Starbucks Corporation	491%	-	-
Celgene Corporation	-	29%	26%
Nike Inc	-	36%	-20%
Paypal Holdings	-	52%	-
General Dynamics Corp	-	-	-17%
Kinder Morgan Inc	-	-	-52%